Exhibit A

MAGISTRATE COURT OF	PEACH COUNTY, G	EORGIA
Date: Filed Tuly 22, 2014	Case:No: 14-785CS	
AMY PARTON (See Attached)		
		Filed in the Magistrate Court
Plaintiff(s) Name, Address	STATEMENT OF CLAIM	of Peach County
MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC.		JUL 2 2 2014
Defendant(s) Name, Address		700 Spruce St. Wing A Fort Valley, GA 31030
[Suit on Note [] Suit on Account [X] Other		
 The Court has jurisdiction over the defendant(s) [] the (please specify) 	Defendant(s) is a resident of	County; [x] Other
See Attached Complaint	· ·	ALC: 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
See Attached Complaint		
costs to date; and all future costs of the State of Georgia, PEACH County: Clifford Carlson true statement the amount owing by defendant(s) to plaintiff Sworn and subscribed before me this APR Notary Public/Attesting Official APR	being duly sworn on oath says the says	ounds of defense. Attorney
TO: All Defendant(s) You are hereby notified that above against you in the sum shown by the foregoing statement. You forms can be obtained for the above listed web-site or clerk's of this CLAIM UPON YOU. IF YOU DO NOT ANSWER, JULYOUR ANSWER MAY BE FILED IN WRITING OR MAY BE FILED IN OPEN COURT IN PELARE PERMITTED. The court will hold a hearing on this claim St. Wing A Ft. Valley Ca. 31030	named Plaintiff(s) has/have made a claim J ARE REQUIRED TO FILE or PRESEN ffice) TO THIS CLAIM WITHIN 30 DA DGMENT BY DEFAULT WILL BE ENT BE GIVEN ORALLY TO THE JUDGE O RSON and within the 30 day period. NO	NT AN ANSWER (answer YS AFTER SERVICE OF ERED AGAINST YOU, OR CLERK, If you choose to TELEPHONE ANSWERS TRAFE COURT - 700 SPRUM

Filed in the Magistrate Court of Peach County

IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF PEACH COUNTY

JUL 2 2 2014

700 Spruce St Wing A Fort Valley, GA 31030

STATE OF GEORGIA

AMY PARTON,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 14.785CS vs.

MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC.

Defendant.

Complaint

Plaintiff Amy Parton, brings this action based on Defendant Midland Credit Management, Inc's tortious debt collection practices. Plaintiff shows:

Parties, Jurisdiction, and Venue

1.

Defendant Midland Credit Management, Inc. (hereinafter "Midland") is a California for profit corporation, registered with the Georgia Secretary of State as a foreign corporation.

Defendant Midlands's registered agent is Corporation Service Company, who may be served with process at 40 Technology Parkway South #300, Norcross, Georgia 30092.

3.

internet website. Defendant operates Midland an www.midlandcreditonline.com. This site allows users to access account information specific to a resident of Georgia, which includes financial and personal information, "pay online," and otherwise engage in the exchange of information between the website and the user's computer. Thus, Defendant Midland has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Georgia giving rise to personal jurisdiction. By actively engaging in the exchange of information with a user in Georgia and where she resides, Defendant Midland reasonably should anticipate being subject to the jurisdiction of a Georgia court. Further, this Court's assertion of personal jurisdiction here does not offend the traditional notions of substantial justice and fair play.

4.

Because the tortious acts in this case are directed at a resident of Peach County and because Plaintiff Amy Parton (hereinafter "Parton") has been injured in Peach County, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-10-91 and O.C.G.A. §9-10-93 personal jurisdiction and venue is proper in Peach County.

5.

These claims are for less than the jurisdictional limits of this Honorable Court.

6.

As such, both venue and jurisdiction is proper.

7.

Plaintiff Parton is a consumer as defined by O.C.G.A. §10-1-392(6).

8.

Defendant Midland holds itself out to be a "debt collector" as that term is envisioned by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

Legal Claims

Count One - Fair Business Practices Act

9.

Plaintiff Parton re-alleges, and by this reference here incorporates, all her averments and allegations set out or described herein above.

10.

Defendant is subject to the Fair Business Practices Act, O.C.G.A. § 10-1-390 et seq, (herein the 'FBPA') for acts and omissions pertaining to its attempts relating to collection of an alleged deficiency balance under an alleged contract.

11.

Reliance is not an element of a consumer's claim under the FBPA for Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq (hereafter 'FDCPA'), violations because:

- (a) false, deceptive, and unfair or unconscionable means used in the collection of consumer debt harms the general consumer market place as a matter of law; and,
- (b) the General Assembly did not intend for common law fraud principles to apply to claims brought under the FBPA; and,

12.

By and through the adoption of the FDCPA into the FBPA, creditors in Georgia are made subject to the rules otherwise applicable in the FDCPA only to debt collectors.

13.

Defendant's violations of the FBPA include, but are not limited to:

- (a) sending the consumer communications that are "deceptive" pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 10-1-393 et seq, in that aforesaid communications create confusion as to who the creditor is; and,
- (b) such other and further violations as the evidence may demonstrate during the course of discovery and trial of this case.

14.

Defendant's violations were deliberate and intentional; or in reckless disregard of defendant's rights; or negligent; and harmed the general consumer market place.

15.

For these violations of the FBPA, Plaintiff Parton is entitled to her actual damages pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 10-1-399(a).

16.

To the full extent that these violations were intentional, Plaintiff Parton is entitled to exemplary damages in the amount of three times her actual damages pursuant to OCGA 10-1-399(a) and (c).

17.

Plaintiff Parton is further entitled to her attorneys fees and costs pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 10-1-399(d) from Midland

Count Two - Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

18.

Plaintiff Parton re-alleges, and by this reference here incorporates, all her averments and allegations set out or described herein above.

19.

As set forth below, Defendant Midland has willfully violated the FDCPA.

20.

Defendant Midland attempted to collect amount not authorized by the agreement creating the debt permitted by law, which is prohibited under 15 U.S.C. §1692f(1).

21.

Defendant Midland failed to send the consumer a validation notice within five days of the initial communication as required under 15 U.S.C. §1692g.

22.

Defendant Midland used false, deceptive, and misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection, which is prohibited under 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10). In support thereof, Parton shows that Defendant sent communication that is prima facie evidence of deception.

23.

Defendant Midland used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt, which is prohibited by 15 U.S.C. §1692f. In support thereof,

Parton shows the Defendant sent communication that is prima facie evidence of the unfair and unconscionable attempt to collect the alleged debt.

24.

Pursuant to federal law, Plaintiff Parton is entitled to receive money damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for Defendant Midland's repeated violations of the FDCPA.

Count Three: Unfair or Deceptive Practices Toward the Elderly

25.

Plaintiff Parton re-alleges, and by this reference here incorporates, all her averments and allegations set out or described herein above.

26.

By engaging the actions and failures to act set forth *supra*, in violation of the FBPA and FDCPA, Midland also violated the Unfair or Deceptive Practices Toward the Elderly and Disabled Act ("UDPTEA") as stated in O.C.G.A. § 10-1-851.

27.

And, as a result of those actions and inactions, Plaintiff Parton is entitled to her actual damages, additional civil penalties up to \$10,000 per *each* violation, to punitive damages under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-851, and to reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 10-1-853.

Count Four: Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

28.

Plaintiff Parton re-alleges, and by this reference here incorporates, all her averments and allegations set out or described herein above.

29.

Defendant Midland's conduct was intentional or reckless, it was extreme and outrageous, it directly caused Parton emotional distress, and that distress was severe.

Count Five: Attorneys Fees

30.

Plaintiff Parton re-alleges, and by this reference here incorporates, all her averments and allegations set out or described herein above.

31.

Because of the Defendant's malfeasance and egregious behavior, Parton was forced to engage the services of the below-signed attorney to protect her legal rights. Pursuant to the FDCPA and the UDPTEA, Parton is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Amy Parton seeks:

- A) That this Court grant her claims process of law; and,
- B) That this Court grant monetary damages, including but not limited to, actual, exemplary, punitive, and statutory damages and civil penalties as alleged in each and every count, above; and,
- D) That this Court tax all Court costs to the Defendant; and,
- E) That this Court award the Plaintiff attorney's fees; and,
- F) Such other and further legal relief as the court may deem appropriate under all the circumstances of this case.

Dated July 22, 2014.

Clifford Carlson, Ga. Bar No.: 227503

Cliff Carlson Law, P.C. 4501 Russell Parkway, Suite 24 Warner Robins, GA 31088 478.254.1018 (Tel.) cc@cliffcarlsonlaw.com (Email)